MAY 1 7 2006



411 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 2040 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-449

AX: 414/271-3552 414/277-5591 Attorneys at Law in Milwaukee and Madison, Wisconsin Naples and Boca Raton, Florida Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona Chicago, Illinois

FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

To:

Examiner Y. Retta

Company:

From:

Commissioner for Patents

Fax number:

15712738300

Phone number:

Michael J. McGovern

Phone number:

Senders direct fax:

Number of Pages (including cover sheet): 04

Date & Time Faxed: Wednesday, May 17, 2006 1:12:54 PM

Message:

PLEASE NOTE: Correction to the Application No. - it should be:

Appl. No. 09/682,876 Applicant: Mark Duchow Filed: October 21, 2001

For: System and Method For Providing Electronic Vouchers

Attorney Docket: 670715.90029

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE IS PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL
FOR THE RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE.
IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR,
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND
RETURN THIS MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

IN CASE OF ANY TRANSMISSION PROBLEM,
CALL (414) 277-5595 EXCEPT AFTER 5:30 PM CENTRAL TIME, CALL (414) 277-5404

ENTRAL FAX CENTE

MAY 1 7 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Commissioner of Patents, Group 3600, Alexandria, VA at facsimile no. 2-571 273-8300 on the date set forth-below.

og 17, dub Th

Date of Signature

and Transmission

PATENT

Dkt No. 670715.90029

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Applicant: Mark Duchow

Art Unit: 3622

Attorney of Record

Appl. No.: 09/682,876

Examiner: Y. Retta

Filed:

October 21, 2001

For:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING ELECTRONIC VOUCHERS

REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir:

Applicant has contacted the Examiner and the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stamber, regarding the status of this application after appeal.

The undersigned has called to the Examiners' attention that this application is subject to a grant of a petition to make special that applies to all matters through issuance (copy attached.)

The undersigned requests an Interview with the Examiner in the event that any action other than a Notice of Allowance is anticipated, for the purpose of resolving any formal requirements.

Respectfully submitted

Michael J McGovern

Quarles & Brady

411 E. Wisconsin Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

414 277-5725

Attorney of Record

QBMKE\5898450.1

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 7 2006

MAILED



SEP 0 6 2002

DURECTOR'S OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Washington, D.C. 20231

DECISION ON PETITION

TO MAKE SPECIAL

(INFRINGEMENT)

Howrey Simon Arnold & White, LLP Box No. 34 750 Bering Drive Houston, TX 77057-2198

In re application of Mark Duchow

Application No. 09/682,876

Filed: October 26, 2001

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROVIDING

ELECTRONIC VOUCHERS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.102(d) filed August 12, 2002 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II; Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device or product with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed August 12, 2002 includes all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is GRANTED.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt bona fide effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

Kenneth J. Dorner

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 3600

(703) 308-0866

kjd: 9/1/02